

MONTANA LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Chapter 607 19 91

Bill H 706 S _____ Original bill & history C

H. Committee on Local Government

Hearing Date(s) Feb 21 ✓ C

_____ C

_____ C

_____ C

Date Out Feb 22 ✓ C

S. Committee on Local Government

Hearing Date(s) Mar 14 ✓ C

Mar 21 ✓ C

Mar 25 ✓ C

_____ C

Did this bill originate in an interim committee? Yes No

Committee _____

Report _____

HOUSE FINAL STATUS

HB 708

4/04	3RD READING CONCURRED	50	0
	RETURNED TO HOUSE WITH AMENDMENTS		
4/09	2ND READING AMENDMENTS CONCURRED	96	2
4/10	3RD READING AMENDMENTS CONCURRED	95	4
4/17	SIGNED BY SPEAKER		
4/17	SIGNED BY PRESIDENT		
4/17	TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR		
4/22	SIGNED BY GOVERNOR		
	CHAPTER NUMBER 536		

EFFECTIVE DATE: 4/22/91

HB 706 INTRODUCED BY COHEN, ET AL.

ESTABLISH LICENSING PROCESS FOR MOTOR VEHICLE
WRECKING FACILITIES/GRAVEYARDS

2/08	INTRODUCED		
2/08	REFERRED TO BUSINESS & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		
2/09	FIRST READING		
2/09	FISCAL NOTE REQUESTED		
2/11	REREFERRED TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT		
2/14	FISCAL NOTE RECEIVED		
2/14	FISCAL NOTE PRINTED		
2/21	HEARING		
2/22	COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL PASSED		
2/22	PLACED ON CONSENT CALENDAR		
2/26	3RD READING PASSED	95	4
	TRANSMITTED TO SENATE		
2/26	FIRST READING		
2/26	REFERRED TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT		
3/14	HEARING		
3/27	COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL CONCURRED AS AMENDED		
3/28	2ND READING CONCURRED	49	0
4/01	3RD READING CONCURRED	44	5
	RETURNED TO HOUSE WITH AMENDMENTS		
4/09	2ND READING AMENDMENTS CONCURRED	98	1
4/10	3RD READING AMENDMENTS CONCURRED	99	0
4/19	SIGNED BY SPEAKER		
4/20	SIGNED BY PRESIDENT		
4/20	TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR		
4/24	SIGNED BY GOVERNOR		
	CHAPTER NUMBER 607		

EFFECTIVE DATE: 10/01/91

HB 707 INTRODUCED BY MENAHAN, ET AL

ALLOW TV DISTRICTS TO CONSTRUCT CABLE TELEVISION SYSTEM

2/08	INTRODUCED		
2/08	REFERRED TO BUSINESS & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		
2/09	FIRST READING		
2/21	HEARING		
2/22	COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL NOT PASSED AS AMENDED		
2/23	RECONSIDERED ADOPTION OF ADVERSE COMMITTEE REPORT		
2/23	PLACED ON 2ND READING		
2/25	2ND READING PASSED AS AMENDED	58	40
2/26	3RD READING PASSED	58	42
	TRANSMITTED TO SENATE		
2/27	FIRST READING		
2/27	REFERRED TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT		
3/19	HEARING		
3/22	COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL NOT PASSED		
3/22	ADVERSE COMMITTEE REPORT ADOPTED	40	7

HB 708 INTRODUCED BY SIMPKINS

APPROPRIATE MONEY TO FUND COSTS OF IMPLEMENTING

Questions From Committee Members:

REP. WALLIN asked David Hull why there would be no more DUI charges if the bill passed. Mr. Hull said the cities will be faced with two alternatives. A DUI conviction or reckless driving conviction. We must have the money to pay for our policeman. REP. WALLIN asked if HB 946 pertains to DUI money only; and if someone is brought up on DUI charges, the judge would call it reckless driving. Mr. Hull said HB 946 does pertain to DUI money only and as a prosecuting attorney, he would amend the charge from DUI to reckless driving. Cities have to have the money and this is what would happen.

Closing by Sponsor:

REP. MENAHAN said the problem is a money raising one. Put the money where it is intended, with the education of youth and safety.

HEARING ON HB 706Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. COHEN, House District 3, Whitefish, stated HB 706 establishes a licensing process for motor vehicle wrecking facilities and motor vehicle graveyards. It would require the governing body of a county to conduct a hearing and establish criteria for the decision by the DHES to license a facility. At present, to establish a graveyard or wrecking facility, they must go through the Department to make sure the sight is acceptable in the environmental criteria. There is no Department regulation that a particular sight be compatible with other land uses in the county. HB 706 states that before DHES can license a wrecking facility; they have to notify the people who live adjacent to the area where the facility will be built, County Commissioners must be notified, public notices must be sent, and a hearing must be held in the Commissioner's office.

Proponents' Testimony:

Gordon Morris, Montana Association of Counties, stated HB 706 would give the County Commissioners the opportunity to vote on the location of wrecking facilities and graveyards.

Opponents' Testimony: NoneQuestions From Committee Members:

REP. CROMLEY said on Page 2, Line 12 where it says "department shall consider", shouldn't that read "county governing body". The hearings are held before the local county government, which makes the decision to endorse or not endorse; and asked how does DHES know the effect. REP. COHEN stated it would give DHES the opportunity to veto a license. He wanted to put the decision in

the hands of local governments and said it was probably a drafting problem. **Gordon Morris** stated if the county did support it, then DHES would still be qualified to make the next level decision. **REP. CROMLEY** asked if there were two hearings, one before the county and one before the DHES. **REP. COHEN** said there was only a review process with the DHES and no hearing with local governments. **REP. CROMLEY** asked how the information is going to get to the state. **REP. COHEN** stated there is existing language for reviews in the statutes. What they don't look at is land use planning.

REP. S. J. HANSEN asked **Jon Dilliard**, DHES, how the counties determine where to put a graveyard. **Mr. Dilliard** said when the DHES receives a application for a motor vehicle wrecking facility or graveyard, they find out if the facility can be shielded from public view. The plans in the application require they supply specific instruction and details of shielding plans. They must also give environmental and operational conditions such as soil types, near by streams, intermittent water, and depth of well and water in the facilities area. They then prepare an environmental assessment which is sent to all adjoining property owners for their input. **REP. HANSEN** asked if HB 706 would make the process more difficult to find a location for a facility. **Mr. Dilliard** said HB 706 would have little effect on making it harder to issue a license. The main impact of work in doing an application would go to the counties.

REP. DOWELL stated much of Montana isn't zoned and asked if the bill would be helpful to those areas. **Mr. Dilliard** stated HB 706 would provide an area zoning effort for or against wrecking facilities. It would not leave permanent zoning in place. **REP. J. RICE** said the statutes require the counties to maintain or contract out property for vehicle graveyards and asked if the bill would mandate the county to have a hearing on an application. **Mr. Dilliard** said yes. The county graveyards have to go through the same licensing process as a motor vehicle wrecking facility. It would not specifically eliminate them from having a public hearing on their own yard.

Closing by Sponsor:

REP. COHEN stated all counties have wrecking facilities. They should have the vote if they need a new site for a wrecking facility. They should discuss this with the residents who live in the area where the facility will be located.

HEARING ON HB 939

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. S. RICE stated HB 939 has an appropriations attachment and does not need to be heard until after transmittal. She requested a delay in hearing until after that time.

Opponents' Testimony: None

Questions From Committee Members:

REP. WALLIN stated HB 791 is a permissive bill. It needs a one mill levy to fund. If the county has enough money in the General Fund, they can implement this if they want. REP. DARKO said the levy is optional. The County Commissioners can put it on the ballot. Under I-105, that cannot be done anymore.

Closing by Sponsor: None

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 706

Motion/Vote: REP. DOWELL MOVED HB 706 DO PASS AND BE PLACED ON CONSENT CALENDAR. Motion carried unanimously.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 823

Motion/Vote: REP. DARKO MOVED HB 823 BE TABLED. Motion carried unanimously.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 925

Motion: REP. DARKO MOVED HB 925 DO NOT PASS.

Discussion:

REP. DARKO stated there are constitutional questions with changing county boundaries. There are other methods available; and when she asked if the other methods had been tried, she could get no answer.

REP. HAYNE stated the area in question is in her district and she is very much opposed to HB 925. It would cause confusion in both counties.

Motion/Vote: REP. HAYNE MADE A SUBSTITUTE MOTION THAT HB 925 BE TABLED. Motion carried unanimously.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 946

Motion/Vote: REP. S. J. HANSEN MOVED HB 946 BE TABLED. Motion carried 17 to 1 with REP. GOULD voting no.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HJR 34

Motion/Vote: REP. STICKNEY MOVED HJR 34 DO PASS. Motion carried unanimously.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

ROLL CALL

DATE 2-21-91

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Rep. Paula Darko	X		
Rep. Jessica Stickney, Vice-Chair	X		
Rep. Joe Barnett	X		
Rep. Arlene Becker	X		
Rep. Vivian Brooke	X		
Rep. Dave Brown			X
Rep. Brent Cromley	X		
Rep. Tim Dowell	X		
Rep. Budd Gould	X		
Rep. Stella Jean Hansen	X		
Rep. Harriet Hayne	X		
Rep. Ed McCaffree	X		
Rep. Tom Nelson	X		
Rep. Jim Rice	X		
Rep. Sheila Rice	X		
Rep. Richard Simpkins	X		
Rep. Norm Wallin	X		
Rep. Diana Wyatt, Chair	X		

12:00
2/23/91
LWB

HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 22, 1991

Page 1 of 1

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on Local Government report that House Bill 706 (first reading copy -- white) do pass and be placed on consent calendar .

Signed: _____

Diana Wyatt, Chairman

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

VISITOR'S REGISTER

Local Gov. COMMITTEE BILL NO. 706
DATE 2-21-91 SPONSOR(S) Cohen

PLEASE PRINT

PLEASE PRINT

PLEASE PRINT

NAME AND ADDRESS	REPRESENTING	BILL	OPPOSE	SUPPORT
Jon Dilliard	Dept. of Health			

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED TESTIMONY WITH SECRETARY. WITNESS STATEMENT FORMS
ARE AVAILABLE IF YOU CARE TO SUBMIT WRITTEN TESTIMONY.

HEARING ON HB-706

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor: Representative Ben Cohen, District #3, this bill is an act establishing a licensing process for motor vehicle wrecking facilities and motor vehicle graveyards; requiring the governing body of a county to conduct a hearing; and establish criteria for the decision by the Department of Health and Environmental Sciences to license a facility. At present if a person wants to include a wrecking yard or vehicle graveyard they must be licensed by the DHES. However, that process does not consider the needs, concerns, or desires of the citizens in the county where the vehicle wrecking facility will be located. So the County Commissioners have no opportunity to say anything about it. An example occurred in the area near him, actually in Representative Measure's district. A lovely subdivision was put in with country lake homes, private roads from off a paved county road, a little lake, and these people went through the whole subdivision review. They did everything just right, and put in a really nice subdivision. A fellow got a junk of land real cheap up behind the subdivision, and decided to put in a vehicle wrecking yard. This was an area with master plans, and concern for future development. Everything else around the area is residential. He was able to go through DHES process, and there was nothing that our Commissioners nor the folks living in the neighborhood could do to halt this process. Now we have a very incompatible use. There are regulations about what you can see in a wrecking yard from a county road or state highway, but they do not list a private road or your back or front yard. So now we have a wrecking facility in an inappropriate use and inappropriate spot. This man met the DHES requirements, so he could do it. It is just an incompatible use for the area. This bill basically says that before going through the license process, the people that live in the area should know what is going to be done, and so should the County Commissioners. Let the County Commissioners hold public hearings on it to determine if this is appropriate use in that area. Now in the past people have said if you don't want wrecking yards in then just zone it. Well it is not that easy. Anyone familiar with the zoning process knows this. In our county, we have been trying to do some corridor zoning in hopes that we can prevent inappropriate development with the expansion of Highway 93 through Flathead County. Even this is quite expensive and time consuming. It is very difficult to do county wide zoning, and so what we are looking for is a mechanism to stop this kind of an inappropriate use. We just want to allow public input, commissioners holding hearings, and then the right place can be found. The DHES can then deny the permit for that inappropriate area. Representative Bradley also signed this

SENATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

March 14, 1991

Page 9 of 20

bill. Gallatin County has a terrible problem, worse than the problems in Flathead County.

Proponents' Testimony: Gordon Morris, Executive Director, MACo, said he appeared in support of this bill. Representative Cohen referred to the horrors experienced in Gallatin County. What the bill does simply is to require DHES to notify the property owners, the governing body, the newspapers, and then the county would be required to conduct a public hearing to determine whether or not the proposed facility would significantly affect the life of adjoining landowners, and then adopt a resolution. This is an important step because if the county adopted a resolution opposing the citing of the wrecking facility then the DHES would be barred from proceeding with the issuance of a license. This is very clearly set forth in section 3, Page 2. This is a very sensible solution. Representative Bradley should have been the sponsor because she has been struggling all session to find a bill to put on the consent calendar in the House, and this bill did! This bill got unanimous consent in the House, and he asked the committee to give like consideration in this committee.

Opponents' Testimony: none

Questions From Committee Members:

Senator Hammond asked how long is a license granted for? Jon Dilliard, Program Officer, Motor Vehicle Recycling and Disposal Program at the DHES, said that licenses are renewable at the beginning of each year, as long as the facility continues to comply with the existing regulations it will be renewed. Senator Hammond said this bill will not remove Representative Cohen's problem from that subdivision? Mr. Dilliard said if it is currently licensed it will not be removed. The bill has a grandfather clause, but if they wanted to expand they would have to go through the process in the bill.

Senator Kennedy said the bill should have included racetracks!

Senator Hammond asked Representative Cohen why they could not handle this at the local level? Representative Cohen said they do not have the authority. The County Commissioners are not even notified. Mr Dilliard said that there is no requirement to

LG031491.SM1

SENATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

March 14, 1991

Page 10 of 20

notify the County Commissioners, but the DHES must go through the Montana Environmental Protection process which entails the preparation of an environmental assessment on each facility. By policy of the DHES, county commissioners, county zoners, county health officials, and those involved in the junk vehicle program in the county, and adjoining landowners of the proposed facility are all given a copy of the environmental assessment when it is prepared.

Senator Harding said she appreciates the neighborhoods problem of having something like this in their backyard and the grandfather clause, but she asked if you have a license and need to renew it could you be forced to move your facility? Mr. Dilliard said he understood that the grandfather clause would prevent an existing facility that is renewing its license yearly from going through the hearing process. So existing facilities would not be affected. Senator Harding said they still have to renew every year.

Senator Kennedy asked if there was a definition of noise pollution? Mr. Dilliard said he was not familiar with one in any state law. Senator Kennedy said it is listed as one of the criteria in the bill. C. Erickson said Title 7 has general laws about noise pollution, but no decibel level is defined. She will look for this section.

Senator Vaughn asked if existing facility wants to expand do they go through this? Mr. Dilliard said when a wrecking facility wants to expand beyond their current licensed limit they have to submit an application just like a new one. This bill would then require them to go through the hearing process. Senator Vaughn said if the expansion was rejected it would not affect the original operation. Mr. Dilliard said that was his understanding.

Senator Hammond said the only time he has heard of noise pollution being a consideration is in regards to extension of airport runways in an area with homes. C. Erickson said the statutes talk about airports, motorboats, motorcycles, motor vehicles, railroads, snowmobiles, and loud and unusual noises. Loud and unusual noises are generally involved in disorderly conduct and public use statutes. There is no real definition as far as decibel level. She said city councils have the authority to regulate or prevent or punish loud noises. C. Erickson cited 7-32-4302 and 7-32-2796.

LG031491.SM1

SENATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

March 14, 1991

Page 11 of 20

Closing by Sponsor: Representative Cohen said the concerns expressed about noise pollution is the not the real concern. He has spent time in wrecking yards, and noise is not the major concern. His concern is water pollution. This subdivision is not on public water they have wells. The wrecking facility is uphill from them. The soils are mixed glacier till which is very porous. There are some clays that conduct water well. So the first time the water is inspected there could be a problem. We are in an area where we do not have county wide zoning in place, and this is not an easy thing to implement. There are lots of places that are appropriate for these types of facilities. You don't have to put it where your uncle left you 10 acres. He said he appreciated the opportunity to present HB-791, and he hoped the committee would carefully consider it. Allow our local governments to have this local control over the things that happen in their own backyards.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB-602

Discussion: C. Erickson said that the libraries offered an amendment to exempt them. She said the Legislative Council also had a problem with an issue in this bill. #1. the original bill applied only to county commissioners, but the House amended it to read "local government" which includes cities and towns. So to do this it needs to be codified in the municipal budget law. Secondly, some of these boards and commissions or governing entities have bonding authority. Port and Airport can issue bonds without election approval. The statute for them indicates that the bonds may be repaid with use of tax levies. Her concern is that if these entities have to have their budgets approved, so their taxes to be levied to pay those bonds, would be subject to local government approval. These bill says that even if bonds are issued with tax levies to repay them, the local government might not approve those levies each year to support those bonds. C. Erickson said she could not imagine a local government would do this because it would affect their bond rating, but the possibility exists the way the bill is written. This could make it hard to sell the bonds if the buyers knew that the taxes to repay the bonds are subject to the approval every year of the local governing body. She suggested adding amendment #5 (Exhibit #2). "Tax levies pledged to the payment of principal and interest on bonds issued by a commission, board, or governing entity must be approved by the local government." She added that Gordon Morris, MACo, did not feel this was a problem.

LG031491.SM1

ROLL CALL

SENATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

DATE 3-14-91

52 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Senator Beck	X		
Senator Bengtson	X		
Senator Eck	X		
Senator Hammond	X		
Senator Harding	X		
Senator Kennedy	X		
Senator Thayer			X
Senator Vaughn	X		
Senator Waterman	X		

Each day attach to minutes.

SENATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

March 21, 1991

Page 5 of 15

This type of money does two things; #1 it lets them get started, #2 it sets the policy that these records are valuable and should be preserved. This should have been a Centennial project. We should have said that the history of Montana is in these boxes in basements, and we are going to try to protect them. We are a little late, but the records that go back 100 years are important to us, and this bill says that. We are going to let the users pay to preserve them. He thanked the committee, and asked if one of them would carry this bill?

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB-813

Motion: Senator Kennedy moved to Concur in HB-813. The motion passed unanimously, and was recorded as a roll call vote. Senator Vaughn, with her Clerk and Recorder experience, will carry HB-813.

Senator Vaughn turned the chair back to Senator Bengtson.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB-706

Motion: Senator Eck moved to Concur in HB-706.

Discussion: Senator Hammond said that he agreed this bill may be needed in some areas, but not all. He did not feel this should be imposed on all areas.

Senator Bengtson asked if this does apply to rural areas? C. Erickson said yes.

Senator Beck stated that Senator Kennedy had brought up the question of "noise pollution" during the hearing. Did we find a definition of it? Senator Eck said it comes up around lakes usually regarding motor boats. Senator Bengtson said Fish and Game have a hearing today concerning noise pollution in regard to motor boats.

C. Erickson said she found no definition in the statutes for "noise pollution".

Senator Vaughn asked Senator Kennedy if it was his area that this

LG032191.SM1

SENATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

March 21, 1991

Page 6 of 15

problem had been in that prompted this bill? Senator Hammond answered by stating that a subdivision had been built, and then a wrecking facility went in uphill from the subdivision. Senator Kennedy asked C. Erickson if legally there would be problems with the language "noise pollution"? C. Erickson said with no definition there might be.

Senator Beck questioned the language on Page 2, line 15. He felt this needed to be removed. C. Erickson said if the DHES had something in their rules that talks about decibel level then they could use this, but there is nothing in the statutes. She was not sure of anything in rules about this.

Senator Bengtson questioned whether this was a form of zoning.

Senator Hammond agreed with Senator Beck that there the word "potential" could cause a lawsuit very easily. Senator Beck reiterated what Senator Hammond said about this not being necessary to all areas of Montana. He could see that his area might need this.

Senator Kennedy felt that the public hearing gives the option part of this bill.

Senator Eck said if the committee wanted it to be an option they could change the "shall" to "may" on Page 1, line 16. C. Erickson suggested it would be better to change Page 1, line 25, and change the "shall" to "may". Senator Vaughn asked if the title needed to be changed too? C. Erickson said that whenever amendments are made the Legislative Council changes the title too, if it is affected by the amendment.

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes: Senator Beck made a substitute motion to amended Page 1, line 25 to "may" and any affect it has on the title. The motion was unanimous.

Senator Eck then moved to delete Page 2, line 15, subsection b. Senator Bengtson said that section could actually call for a small EIS or at least an environmental review. The motion passed unanimously.

C. Erickson said found a section of code in Title 75-20-503, environmental factors, and it takes about facility sighting and the environmental factors that are evaluated in doing that. All it says as far as noise is "noise impact."

Senator Kennedy asked C. Erickson about Page 2, line 13, couldn't this encompass what was struck on Page 2, line 15? C. Erickson

LG032191.SM1

felt that what was covered in line 15 could be covered by line 13, but it does not specifically state what to investigate. But all effects on adjoining landowners and landuse is broad enough to include those things that were in line 15.

Recommendation and Vote: Senator Eck moved to Concur in HB-706 as Amended. The vote was 8 to 1, and was recorded as a roll call vote. Senator Hammond voted against. Senator Kennedy will carry HB-706.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB-791

Motion: Senator Kennedy moved to Concur in HB-791.

Discussion: Senator Beck asked for a brief explanation of this bill because he missed the hearing. Senator Hammond said the bill simply stated that a sheriff could designate someone to be the official representative of the department during search and rescues or training so these people would be covered by MACo's Workers' Comp regulations. C. Erickson added that the law currently states that the sheriff must be there physically, and the new language allows telephone or radio contact. Senator Hammond added that the sheriff can't be in two places at one time. Senator Bengtson said the example of scuba diving rescues was used, and many sheriffs are not certified divers, so the dive master could be the designee in this case.

Recommendation and Vote: Senator Kennedy moved to Concur in HB-791. The motion passed and was recorded as a roll call vote. Senator Kennedy will carry HB-791.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB-536

Motion: Senator Eck moved to Concur in HB-536. The motion passed unanimously, and was recorded as a roll call vote. Senator Eck will carry HB-791.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB-650

ROLL CALL

SENATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

DATE 3-21-91

52 LEGISLATIVE SESSION _____

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Senator Beck	X		
Senator Bengtson	X		
Senator Eck	X		
Senator Hammond	X		
Senator Harding	X		
Senator Kennedy	X		
Senator Thayer	X		
Senator Vaughn	X		
Senator Waterman	X		

Each day attach to minutes.

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Date 3-21-91 Bill No. HB-706 Time 3:48

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR BECK	X	
SENATOR BENGTON	X	
SENATOR ECK	X	
SENATOR HAMMOND		X
SENATOR HARDING	X	
SENATOR KENNEDY	X	
SENATOR THAYER	X	
SENATOR VAUGHN	X	
SENATOR WATERMAN	X	

JOYCE INCHAUPE-CORSON
Secretary

ESTHER BENGTON
Chairman

Motion: move to Concur in HB-706 as
Amended
Senator Kennedy will carry

Amendments to House Bill No. 706
Third Reading Copy

Requested by Senator Beck
For the Committee on Local Government

Prepared by Connie Erickson
March 25, 1991

1. Title, line 6.
Strike: "REQUIRING"
Insert: "ALLOWING"

2. Page 1, line 25.
Strike: "shall"
Insert: "may"

3. Page 2, line 12.
Strike: ":"

4. Page 2, line 13.
Strike: "(a)"

5. Page 2, lines 14 through 16.
Strike: ";" on line 14 through "pollution" on line 16

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 1
March 25, 1921

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Local Government, having had under consideration House Bill No. 706 (third reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that House Bill No. 706 be amended and as so amended be concurred in:

1. Title, line 6.
Strike: "REQUIRING"
Insert: "ALLOWING"

2. Page 1, line 25.
Strike: "shall"
Insert: "may"

3. Page 2, line 12.
Strike: ":",

4. Page 2, line 13.
Strike: "(a)"

5. Page 2, lines 14 through 16.
Strike: ":", on line 14 through "pollution" on line 16

Signed:

Esther G. Bengtson
Esther G. Bengtson, Chairman

194 3-25-21
Ad. Coord.

513 E. L. 1921
Sec. of Senate